COMPTE RENDU DEFINITIF DE LA REUNION DU CONSEIL D'ADMINISTRATION DE L'APEEE DE BRUXELLES III-IXELLES 26 mai 2015

La réunion a débuté à 20 H15 Bd. du Triomphe, 135 - Mezzanine des Professeurs sous la présidence de Patrick Mc Cutcheon.

ALBERS Ronald (RA)	MC CUTCHEON Patrick (PMC)
BUSQUETS Luis-Carlos (LCB)	PAPADOPOULOS Anastassios (AP)
CHARLAT Joanna (JCH)	SAURA-MORENO Maria (MSM)
CHRAYE Hélène (HC)	SHOTTER Fiona (FS)
DIAMANTARAS Komninos (KD)	SKERRA Verena (VS)
FITCH Keir (KF)	TURNER Jo (JT)
KLOOTS Rob (RK)	VAN VLIET Hubert (HVV)
LAKE Rosita (RL)	WEIN Robert (RW)
	WESTERHOF-LOEFFLEROVA Andrea (AWL)

Excusés:

REICHOW Holger (HR) qui donne procuration à Keir FITCH (KF)	
JESLINKOVA Eva (EJ)qui donne procuration à Andrea WESTERHOF LOEFFLEROVA (AWL)	
HRUBY Tomas (TH) qui donne procuration à Andrea WESTERHOF LOEFFLEROVA (AWL)	
MARQUARDT Stephan (SM) qui donne procuration à Maria SAURA-MORENO (MSM)	
MARTIN ALONSO Elena (EMA) qui donne procuration à Luis-Carlos BUSQUETS (LCB)	
TORIJANO MONTERO Javier (JTM) qui donne procuration à Maria SAURA-MORENO (MSM)	
Absents:	
BENABDERRAHMANE Hayet (HB)	
KURRER Christian (CK)	

Observatrice: Jocelyne Poupé

0. The board heartily welcomed RW's return and wished Felix well in his return to school.

1. Agenda

The agenda was approved

2. Report of meeting of 28 April

The draft report of the previous meeting were approved taking into account the remarks made by HC.

3. Financial report

KD and RA presented an overview of the financial results of the 2ème quadri. The main message is that we are on track and that our positive balance is higher than budgeted as a result of the outcome of the litigation with our former director. In discussion it was suggested

that future reports not be limited to a treasury perspective but also take account of expected future invoices.

Any necessary budgetary provisions covering new APEEE needs for next school year will be addressed at the next Board meeting.

4. Canteen

The board approved the canteen working group's proposed choice of consultant for the evaluation of the possibilities of introducing a self-service. It was clarified that; the consultant will have access to the school premises, the canteen committee has been consulted, the report to be delivered in mid-June will be a draft report to be revised following feedback, at a later stage it is foreseen that the consultant will present the findings to the board and answer queries

Vote: for 18 Abstention: 0

Unanimity for BECP Concept

5. Pedagogy

RW complemented by JT and JMB described the state of play regarding the fifth school and suggested that the board would usefully take a position on the future composition of the Brussels III site and it was agreed that a draft decision be circulated to this effect. This should confirm the position that there should be no opening of a new section (SK) in Ixelles and no forced transfers of sections or pupils. Several sections' representatives opposed also to the opening of their sections (EL, ES, EN) in other locations.

RW and RA explained that on secondary reform the draft evaluation of the impact does not address the key questions and that there are different views in Interparents on the best tactical approach regarding use of a flawed report. While the report as it stands is a poor basis for decision making, a rejection of it could be used to justify no reflection before making changes RW and JMB reported on the working group on use of L2 in secondary. This concerns two issues the use of host country language as L2 and streaming of pupils of different levels. Of these the former of is not of concern and the latter is opposed by the director of the secondary school

RW explained that the new marking systems will be implemented in September 2017 and will first impact on the bac in 2020, which will thus concern those currently in S2.

RA explained that regarding the state of play on provision of special educational needs, the situation in Brussels III is that the allocated budget is not being exhausted and suggested that this is due to a failure of coordination rather than a lack of need.

6. Periscolaire

RL reported that for 2015-2016 the choice of courses available and the prices remain unchanged and that a modest surplus is budgeted.

NB: Une partie de ce document n'est pas accessible sur le site pour des raisons de confidentialité et en conformité avec les règles de l'APEEE sur l'accès à ses documents.

7. IT hardware and online enrolment software

As regards the acquisition of a solution facilitating online enrolment, the board approved the document on needs prepared by AP as a basis to prepare the call for tender. Regarding the acquisition of hardware to implement recommendations of the IT audit, it was agreed that a decision will be taken at the next meeting and that in the interim members are invited to send comments on the proposal to AP.

Vote: For: 19 Unanimity

8. Revision of statute.

AP having previously distributed a table on the options for the main changes outlined the state of play regarding the review of the statute. It was agreed that there will be a substantial discussion at the next board meeting and an extra board meeting will be scheduled early July or early September if needed. An extraordinary General Assembly might be scheduled on 22th October 2015. Date of the General Assembly is 3/12/2015.

9. Springfest

KD and AP reported that based on provisional estimates the financial outcome of Springfest is positive and that following reconstitution of the working capital there will be a sum to distribute. Mr Radhuber has requested the opinion of the APEEE on what would constitute an appropriate charity or cause to support. KD clarified that the Springfest accounts are independent of the APEEE. PM reiterated his intention to have a more substantial discussion on Springfest at the beginning of the school year and to invite the school directors to participate in this discussion

Fin de la séance : 22H50

Prochain CA: mardi 23 juin 2015 à 20 H précises.